

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/523,029	Applicant(s) STENZEL ET AL.	
	Examiner Richard M. Rump	Art Unit 1793	

All Participants:

(1) Richard M. Rump.

(2) Harris Pitlick.

Date of Interview: 20 July 2010

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 10:45 AM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

5-20

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Amendment to claim 10 to make it dependant upon claim 5 was entertained. Request was given to rejoin claims 5-20.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Richard M Rump/
Examiner, Art Unit 1793

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)